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Secondly, Purdy’s overall argument is fallacious. It goes like this: (1) Norman Geisler insists that Christianity is based on theism. (2) Geisler’s argument for theism fails. (3) “On Geisler’s own admission, then, Christianity has fallen.”

As we have answered his objections elsewhere, Purdy has by no means shown that our argument fails. But it is clear that even if the arguments by a given theist fail, it certainly does not follow that theism (and, thus, Christianity based on it) has failed.
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